



Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on the Growth and Yield of Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Talvinder Kaur¹, Ashutosh Sharma¹, Sonika Sharma^{1*}, Neha Sharma², Shivam Sharma^{1*}

¹DAV University, Sarmastpur, Jalandhar, Punjab (India)-144012 ²Department of Vegetable Science, CSK HPKV Palampur (H.P), India-176062 Email: <u>shivamsharma7154@gmail.com</u>; <u>ssonika88@gmail.com</u> *Corresponding author

Received: 28 Aug 2024; Received in revised form: 25 Sep 2024; Accepted: 02 Oct 2024; Available online: 10 Oct 2024 © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract— An experiment was conducted in the experimental Farm of DAV University, Jalandhar during kharif, 2023 to assess the combined effect of plant growth regulators and varieties on growth and yield of capsicum. The experiment comprised of four treatments of plant growth regulators, ($G_0 = No$ growth regulator (control), $G_1 = GA_3$ (a) 50 ppm, $G_2 = SA$ (a) 50 ppm, $G_3 = MeJa$ (a) 2mM) and three varieties ($V_1 = PSM - 1$, $V_2 = F_1$ - hybrid, $V_3 = Orobelle$) was replicated thrice and laid out in Randomized Block Design. Among plant growth regulators, maximum growth and yield parameters were observed in GA_3 (a) 50 ppm. Among the varieties, $V_1 = PSM - 1$ were recorded to be the best regarding growth and yield parameters. For combined effect, G_1V_1 gave the highest growth and yield and G_0V_3 gave the lowest growth and yield. The highest gross return, net returns and cost benefit ratio was significantly observed in T1 (GA_3 (a) 50 ppm × PSM - 1) following by in T5 ($GA_3 \times F1$ - hybrid). So, 50 ppm GA_3 may be used for capsicum cultivation.



Keywords— Capsicum, Plant growth regulators, Gibberellic acid, Salicylic acid, Methyl jasmonate

I. INTRODUCTION

Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is an annual herbaceous plant that belongs to the Solanaceae family. It is commonly referred to as peppers, paprika, or capsicum (Shimla mirch) and has its origins in South and Central America. The genus Capsicum comprises over 30 species, with five of them (*C. annuum, C. frutescens, C. chinense, C. baccatum, and C. pubescens*) being domesticated and primarily cultivated for vegetable consumption. Capsicum is considered the world's second-most important vegetable after tomatoes [1]. In India, capsicum is cultivated across 24,000 hectares, with a total production of 3.21 lakh metric tons. West Bengal is the largest producer of capsicum in the country, accounting for 29.61 % of the total, followed by Karnataka (10.54 %), Haryana (10.49 %), Jharkhand (10.10 %), Himachal Pradesh (8.68 %), and Punjab (5.06 %) [2].

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) and natural biostimulants are used to enhance horticulture products, improving plant growth and increasing yield in various crops such as cucumber, tomato, pepper, potato, onion, pea, and melon [3,

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.95.27 4]. They help to reduce flower and fruit drops, improve production per unit area and time, and stimulate the translocation of photosynthates, leading to better retention of flowers and fruits [5, 6]. Sweet pepper's responses to PGRs vary [7]. PGRs are diverse substances that can modify plant physiological or morphological processes at very low concentrations.

Gibberellic acid (GA_3) is a plant hormone that regulates growth and development. It is produced by plants, fungi, and bacteria. GA_3 accelerates plant growth and development by enhancing plant height, shoot weight, and root length [8]. Salicylic acid has a wide range of biological activities, making it a valuable tool for optimizing plant growth, development, and stress management [9]. The application of salicylic acid can lead to increased fruit size and overall yield in capsicum plants. It can also improve the color, texture, and flavor of capsicum fruits, enhancing their marketability [10].

Methyl jasmonate is a plant hormone that plays a role in various stress responses, including defense against

pathogens and herbivores. In *Capsicum* species (e.g., bell peppers and hot peppers), methyl jasmonate can influence several aspects of plant growth and development like enhance stress resistance, increase secondary metabolites and induce defense mechanisms [11].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research work was conducted at the experimental Farm, DAV University, Jalandhar during the period from March 2023 to August 2023. The location of the site was 31° 25' 18" N / 75° 37' 14" E, with an average elevation altitude of 238 meters above mean sea level. Plant growth regulators and varieties was used for present study. The experiment comprised four treatments of plant growth regulators, (G1= GA_3 (*a*) 50 ppm, $G_2 = SA$ (*a*) 50 ppm, $G_3 = MeJa$ (*a*) 2mM, G_0 = No growth regulator(control)) and three varieties $(V_1=PSM-1, V_2=F_1-hybrid, V_3=Orobelle)$. The experiment was laid out in the Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications and twelve treatments. Plant growth regulators was done through foliar application of Gibberellic acid, salicylic acid and methyl jasmonate. The Plant growth regulators were applied at the 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting. The treatments consisted of T₁ $(GA_3 \times PSM-1)$, T₂ $(SA \times PSM-1)$, T₃ $(MeJa \times PSM-1)$, T₄ (Control \times PSM-1), T₅ (GA₃ \times F₁- hybrid), T₆ (SA \times F₁hybrid), T_7 (MeJa × F₁- hybrid), T_8 (Control × F₁- hybrid), T₉ (GA₃ \times Orobelle), T₁₀ (SA \times Orobelle), T₁₁ (MeJa \times Orobelle) and T₁₂ (Control × Orobelle). Observations were recorded on randomly selected plants with different characters *i.e.*, plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, leaf area index, days from transplanting to 1st flowering, days from transplanting to 50 % flowering, number of flowers per plant, days from transplanting to 1st harvest, number of fruits per plant, percent fruit setting, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), fruit weight (g), yield per plant (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare (tons) and economics. The data was analysed as per design of the experiment.

III. RESULTS

3.1 Growth parameters

The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the treatments for all the plant growth attributes under study.

3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The data recorded on the effect of plant growth regulators and varieties on various growth attributes of capsicum presented in Table 1. Maximum plant height was obtained when GA_3 was applied at the rate of 50 ppm in G_1 . In case of varieties maximum plant height was obtained in V_1 (PSM-1).

3.1.2 Number of branches per plant

Maximum number of branches per plant was obtained when GA₃ was applied at the rate of 50 ppm. In case of varieties maximum number of branches per plant was recorded in F₁- hybrid variety. The interaction between plant growth regulators and varieties the maximum plant height was obtained in (GA₃ × F₁- hybrid) in treatment T₅.

3.1.3 Number of leaves per plant

Maximum number of leaves per plant was obtained when GA_3 was applied at the rate of 50 ppm. In case of varieties maximum number of leaves per plant was obtained in variety V₁ (PSM-1). The possible reason may be that application of Azotobacter improved nitrogen status of the soil because this is free nitrogen fixer. The variation in number of leaves per plant of capsicum varieties with different plant growth regulators observed in treatment T₁ (GA₃ × PSM-1).

3.1.4 Leaf area Index

Maximum leaf area Index was recorded in G_1 (GA₃ @ 50 ppm) which was significantly highest than all PGR's. Among varieties, maximum leaf area Index was recorded in V_1 (PSM-1). The maximum interaction effect on leaf area Index at the harvest time was recorded in (GA₃ × PSM-1) in treatment T_1 .

3.2 Days to first flowering/50 % flowering/number of flowers per plant/days to first harvest/number of fruits per plant/percent fruit setting

With the plant growth regulator, they reduced days to first flowering and 50 % flowering, an increased number of flowers per plant, reduced days to first harvest, a greater number of fruits per plant, and improved fruit setting percentages by spray of GA₃ @ 50 ppm. In varieties maximum days to first flowering and 50 % flowering, an increased number of flowers per plant, maximum days to first harvest, a greater number of fruits per plant, and maximum fruit setting percentages was recorded in variety V₁ (PSM-1). In interaction between plant growth regulators and varieties maximum days to first flowering, so % flowering, number of flowers per plant, and percent flowering, number of fruits per plant, and percent fruit settings was obtained in T₁(GA₃ @ 50ppm × PSM-1).

3.3 Yield parameters

3.3.1 Fruit length/ fruit diameter/ fruit weight/ yield per plant/ yield per plot/ yield per hectare

The data recorded on the effect of plant growth regulators and biofertilizers on various yield attributes of capsicum presented in Table 2. In plant growth regulator application, the higher Fruit length/ fruit weight/ yield per plant/ yield per plot/ yield per hectare were noticed in G₁ (GA₃ @ 50 ppm). In varieties the fruit diameter/ fruit weight/ yield per plant/ yield per plot/ yield per hectare was noticed in V₁ (PSM-1) and maximum fruit diameter was recorded in V₂ (F₁- hybrid).Among the interaction, significantly higher fruit diameter/ fruit weight/ yield per plot/ yield per hectare was observed in the interaction of plant growth regulator and varieties in G₁V₁ (GA₃ @ 50ppm × PSM-1) and in fruit length was observed in the interaction of plant growth regulator and varieties in G₁V₂ (GA₃ @ 50 ppm × F₁-hybrid).

4. Economics

The highest gross return, net returns and cost benefit ratio was significantly observed in T_1 (GA₃ @ 50ppm × PSM-1) following by in T_5 (GA₃ × F₁- hybrid). Based on the results obtained in this experiment, it is concluded that the treatment T_1 (GA₃ @ 50ppm × PSM-1) was found to be superior over all other treatments in relation to growth and yield parameters in capsicum under the agro-climatic conditions.

IV. DISCUSSION

For plant height similar outcomes have been reported by [12] in sweet pepper, [13] in brinjal, [14, 15, 16] in tomato, and [17] in tomato. [18] supported the results as increases in plant height may be due to GA₃ which increase the cell division and cell elongation in sub apical meristem. The variation was found due to combined effect of plant growth regulators and varieties on plant height at harvest (86.15cm) in $(GA_3 \times PSM-1)$ in treatment T₁. The possible reason for increase in the number of branches per plant due to impact of plant's overall growth and photosynthetic capacity [19]. The similar trend was also reported in sweet pepper [15], [16, 20] in tomato and [21] in potato. To encourage the formation of lateral buds and increase the number of adaptable branches [22]. [18] supported the results as increases in number of leaves may be due to activity of GA3 at the apical meristem resulting in more nucleo-protein synthesis responsible for increasing leaf initiation. Application of efficient and healthy strain of Azotobacter in rhizosphere have resulted in greater fixation of atmospheric nitrogen for use by the plant resulting in vigorous growth of plant. Similar results have been reported by [23, 24, 25]. For leaf area index, similar results have been reported by [26-30]. The foliar application of plant growth regulators, including GA₃, leads to significantly improved growth parameters by [31]. Similar results have been reported by

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.95.27 [26-30] in different vegetables crops. The increasing concentration of plant growth regulators mixture only up to GA_3 @ 50 ppm proved highly beneficial which enhanced the maximum yield of the capsicum varieties. Another probable reason for increasing yield attributes might be due to the increasing growth characters by cell division, cell elongation and cell expansion that might have ultimately increased in the yield. Similar trend was also observed by [32, 33, 34] in cabbage and [35] in sprouting broccoli. In addition, results obtained for economics of capsicum were found in close conformity with the findings of [36].

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the results experimentation it seems quite logical to conclude that application of plant growth regulator G_1 (GA₃ @ 50 ppm) observed maximum growth, yield and economics. Among the varieties V₁ (PSM-1) were recorded to be the best regarding the growth, yield and economics of capsicum. In case of interaction between plant growth regulators and varieties maximum growth, yield and economics was recorded in T₁ (GA₃ @ 50ppm × PSM-1). These results might be effective and efficient in further capsicum improvement programs.

Table 1. Effect of plant growth regulators on Plant height(cm), Number of branches per plant, Number of leaves per plant, Leaf area Index, days transplanting to 1st flowering, days to 50% flowering, Number of flowers per plant, days from transplanting to 1st harvest, Number of fruits per plant and percent fruit setting on capsicum varieties

| Treatments | Plant | Number of | Number of | Leaf area | Days from | Days to 50 % | Number of | Days from | Number of | Percent |
|------------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | height(cm) | branches per | leaves per | Index | transplanting | flowering | flowers per | transplanting to | fruits per | fruit setting |
| | | piant | рганс | | to 1 st | | piant | 1 st harvest | prant | |
| | | | | | flowering | | | | | |
| Varieties | | | | | | | | | | |
| v ₁ | 83.43 | 10.66 | 108.14 | 0.73 | 42.87 | 90.02 | 12.20 | 56.91 | 10.01 | 82.00 |
| v ₂ | 74.64 | 14.57 | 91.25 | 0.68 | 53.55 | 96.70 | 8.07 | 64.02 | 6.05 | 74.97 |
| v ₃ | 67.96 | 10.14 | 100.14 | 0.55 | 45.98 | 93.30 | 9.34 | 58.32 | 7.22 | 77.28 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.649 | 0.057 | 0.050 | 0.011 | 0.640 | 0.668 | 0.059 | 0.681 | 0.053 | 0.656 |
| C.D(5 %) | 2.291 | 0.199 | 0.178 | 0.040 | 2.259 | 2.357 | 0.208 | 2.402 | 0.187 | 2.314 |
| Plant growth reg | ulators | · | | | | | | | | |
| G1 | 78.56 | 12.38 | 100.49 | 0.70 | 46.06 | 91.25 | 10.56 | 57.79 | 8.33 | 78.99 |
| G2 | 76.46 | 12.07 | 100.07 | 0.65 | 46.62 | 93.35 | 10.02 | 58.66 | 7.94 | 78.39 |
| G3 | 75.35 | 11.63 | 99.53 | 0.64 | 48.11 | 93.59 | 9.59 | 60.31 | 7.52 | 77.74 |
| G0 | 73.67 | 11.09 | 99.29 | 0.62 | 49.08 | 95.18 | 9.31 | 62.25 | 7.25 | 77.20 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.749 | 0.065 | 0.058 | 0.013 | 0.736 | 0.772 | 0.068 | 0.786 | 0.061 | 0.758 |
| C.D(5 %) | 2.643 | 0.231 | 0.205 | 0.046 | 2.102 | 2.235 | 0.240 | 2.774 | 0.216 | 0.256 |

Table 1(a). Interaction effect of plant growth regulators on Plant height(cm), Number of branches per plant, Number of leaves per plant, Leaf area Index, days transplanting to 1st flowering on capsicum varieties.

| Varietie | Plant height (cm) (At Harvest) | | | vest) | Number of branches per plant (At | | | | | At N | Number of leaves per plant (At | | | | t L | eaf aro | ea Ind | ex | | Da | ys froi | n tran | splanti | ng to | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 8 | | | | | | Harv | est) | | | | Н | Harvest) | | | | | | | 1 st | 1 st flowering | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Pla | nt grow | th reg | ulators | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n |
| V1 | 86.1 5 | 83.1 7 | 82.8 6 | 81.5 5 | 83.4 3 | 11.3 5 | 10.9 7 | 10.4 7 | 9.86 | 10.6 7 | 108. 6 | 108. 3 | 107. 9 | 107. 6 | 108. 1 | 0.7 6 | 0.7 3 | 0.7 2 | 0.7 0 | 0.73 | 43.6 6 | 43.0 2 | 42.6 5 | 42.1 5 | 42.8 7 |
| N2 | 78.6 | 75.9 | 72.2 | 70.7 | 74.6 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 12.9 | 14.5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.69 | 56.0 | 54.8 | 51.5 | 50.8 | 53.5 |
| V2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0.7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 0.08 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| V3 | 70.8 5 | 70.3 6 | 69.9 5 | 68.6 8 | 69.9 6 | 10.7 5 | 10.3 9 | 9.87 | 9.55 | 10.1 4 | 100. 8 3 | 100. 3 5 | 99.8 1 | 99.5 8 | 100. 1 4 | 0.6 4 | 0.5 5 | 0.5 2 | 0.5 1 | 0.55 | 46.6 5 | 46.4 5 | 45.6 6 | 45.1 7 | 45.9 8 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.03 | | | | | 0.02 | | | | | 0.05 | | | | | 0.0 1 | | | | | 0.03 | | | | |
| C.D at (5%) | 0.08 | | | | | 0.05 | | | | | 0.13 | | | | | 0.0 3 | | | | | 0.08 | | | | |

Table 1(b). Interaction effect of plant growth regulators on Days to 50% flowering, Number of flowers per plant, days from transplanting to 1st harvest, Number of fruits per plant and percent fruit setting on capsicum varieties.

| Varieti es | Days to 50% flowering | | | | ıg | Nı | Number of flowers per plant | | | | D | Days from transplanting to 1 St harvest | | | | st N | lumber | of fru | uits po | er plant | t | Perc | ent frui | it settir | ıg |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Pl | ant gro | wth re | gulator | 'S | I | | | | | | | | | |
| | Gl | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mea n |
| V1 | 92.6 5 | 90.5 5 | 88.6 4 | 88.2 4 | 90.0 2 | 12.8 5 | 12.3 5 | 11.9 5 | 11.6 5 | 12.2 0 | 58.7 5 | 58.3 3 | 53.3 0 | 57.2 7 | 56.9 1 | 10.5 8 | 10.0 6 | 9.8 4 | 9.5 4 | 10.0 1 | 82.3 4 | 81.4 6 | 82.2 9 | 81.8 9 | 82.0 0 |
| V2 | 96.9 5 | 96.3 6 | 98.6 4 | 94.8 5 | 96.7 0 | 8.85 | 8.05 | 7.85 | 7.54 | 8.08 | 66.0 6 | 64.7 6 | 63.0 2 | 62.2 5 | 64.0 2 | 6.55 | 6.25 | 5.8 6 | 5.5 5 | 6.05 | 73.9 9 | 77.6 8 | 74.5 8 | 73.6 2 | 7 4.9 7 |
| V3 | 95.9 4 | 93.8 5 | 92.7 7 | 90.6 5 | 93.3 0 | 9.97 | 9.66 | 8.98 | 8.75 | 9.34 | 61.9 5 | 57.8 3 | 57.0 6 | 56.4 5 | 58.3 2 | 7.86 | 7.52 | 6.8 6 | 6.6 6 | 7.22 | 78.8 3 | 77.8 4 | 76.3 6 | 76.0 8 | 77.2 8 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.02 | | | | | 0.02 | | | | | 0.67 | | | | | 0.01 | | | | | 0.21 | | | | |
| C.D at (5%) | 0.06 | | | | | 0.06 | | | | | 1.98 | | | | | 0.03 | | | | | 0.62 | | | | |

Table 2. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit length(cm), fruit diameter (cm), fruit weight(g), yield per plant (g), yieldper plot (kg) and yield per hectare (tons) on capsicum varieties.

| Treatments | Fruit length(cm) | Fruit diameter (cm) | Fruit weight(g) | Yield per plant (g) | Yield per plot (kg) | Yield per hectare (tons) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Varieti | ies | | |
| v ₁ | 8.94±0.30 | 7.12±0.33 | 82.22±0.59 | 296.32±2.40 | 4.45±0.04 | 74.22±0.76 |
| v ₂ | 13.34±0.32 | 3.15±0.41 | 77.80±1.03 | 287.83±4.33 | 4.32±0.07 | 72.00±1.16 |
| v ₃ | 11.62±0.37 | 6.44±0.49 | 69.12±1.43 | 282.43±1.52 | 4.23±0.02 | 70.51±0.36 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.126 | 0.126 | 0.470 | 1.610 | 0.068 | 0.456 |
| C.D (5%) | 0.444 | 0.446 | 1.657 | 5.678 | 0.240 | 1.609 |
| | |] | Plant growth | regulators | | |
| G1 | 11.92±1.30 | 6.35±1.17 | 78.79±3.21 | 294.49±4.43 | 4.43±0.08 | 73.78±1.37 |
| G ₂ | 11.64±1.31 | 6.02±1.24 | 76.95±3.79 | 292.13±4.53 | 4.39±0.07 | 73.14±1.18 |
| G ₃ | 11.18±1.20 | 5.41±1.28 | 75.73±4.02 | 285.95±4.80 | 4.29±0.07 | 71.46±1.19 |
| G ₀ | 10.47±1.32 | 4.51±1.23 | 74.05±4.38 | 282.88±3.54 | 4.24±0.05 | 70.59±0.38 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.145 | 0.146 | 0.542 | 1.859 | 0.078 | 0.527 |
| C.D. | 0.513 | 0.515 | 1.913 | 6.557 | 0.277 | 1.858 |

Table 2 (a). Interaction effect of plant growth regulators on fruit length(cm), fruit diameter (cm), fruit weight(g), yield per plant (g), yield per plot (kg) and yield per hectare (tons) on capsicum varieties.

| Varieties | | Fruit | length(cn | ı) | | Fruit diameter(cm) | | | | | | Fruit Weight (g) | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|--------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| v arrenes | Plant growth regulators | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mean | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mean | G1 | G2 | G3 | G0 | Mean | |
| V1 | 9.54 | 9.24 | 8.85 | 8.15 | 8.94 | 7.84 | 7.43 | 6.85 | 6.35 | 7.12 | 83.55 | 82.83 | 81.45 | 81.04 | 82.22 | |
| V2 | 14.03 | 13.74 | 12.85 | 12.73 | 13.34 | 4.04 | 3.55 | 2.85 | 2.17 | 3.15 | 80.14 | 78.15 | 77.77 | 75.14 | 77.80 | |
| V3 | 12.18 | 11.95 | 11.83 | 10.53 | 11.62 | 7.16 | 7.06 | 6.53 | 5.02 | 6.44 | 72.68 | 69.86 | 67.97 | 65.98 | 69.12 | |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.01 | | | | | 0.01 | | | | | 0.02 | | | | | |
| C.D at (5%) | 0.03 | | | | | 0.03 | | | | | 0.07 | | | | | |

| Varieties | Yield per plant (g) | | | | | | Yield per j | plot (kg) | | | Yield per hectare (tons) | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| v ar retres | | | | | | | Plar | nt growth r | egulators | | | | | | |
| | G1 | G2 | G3 | G1 | G2 | G3 | G1 | G2 | G3 | G1 | G2 | G3 | G1 | G2 | G3 |
| V1 | 300.85 | 298.96 | 295.54 | 300.85 | 298.96 | 295.54 | 300.85 | 298.96 | 295.54 | 300.85 | 298.96 | 295.54 | 300.85 | 298.96 | 295.54 |
| V2 | 296.65 | 293.87 | 280.95 | 296.65 | 293.87 | 280.95 | 296.65 | 293.87 | 280.95 | 296.65 | 293.87 | 280.95 | 296.65 | 293.87 | 280.95 |
| V3 | 285.96 | 283.56 | 281.36 | 285.96 | 283.56 | 281.36 | 285.96 | 283.56 | 281.36 | 285.96 | 283.56 | 281.36 | 285.96 | 283.56 | 281.36 |
| S.E (m) ± | 0.08 | | | 0.08 | | | 0.08 | | | 0.08 | | | 0.08 | | |
| C.D at (5%) | 0.23 | | | 0.23 | | | 0.23 | | | 0.23 | | | 0.23 | | |

| Treatment | Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) | Gross return (Rs/ha) | Net return (Rs/ha) | B:C Ratio |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| G1V1 | 59413.4 | 229200 | 169786.6 | 2.86 |
| G2V1 | 58932.4 | 223990 | 165057.6 | 2.80 |
| G3V1 | 59103.4 | 221500 | 162396.6 | 2.75 |
| G0V1 | 53756.4 | 197160 | 143403.6 | 2.67 |
| G1V2 | 60013.4 | 222170 | 162156.6 | 2.70 |
| G2V2 | 59532.4 | 221810 | 162277.6 | 2.73 |
| G3V2 | 59703.4 | 210650 | 150946.6 | 2.53 |
| G0V2 | 54356.4 | 182840 | 127683.6 | 2.35 |
| G1V3 | 60813.4 | 219980 | 159166.6 | 2.62 |
| G2V3 | 60332.4 | 212500 | 152167.6 | 2.52 |
| G3V3 | 60503.4 | 211000 | 150496.6 | 2.49 |
| G0V3 | 55156.4 | 181330 | 126973.6 | 2.30 |

Table 3. Cost and return of capsicum cultivation as influenced by variety and plant growth regulators

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. (1989). Tomato and the pepper production in the tropics. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Taiwan (AVRDC), 585.
- [2] Anonymous. (2022). Area and production 2022, National Horticulture Board *Statistics: Area and production*. https://nhb.gov.in/statistics/area-production-statistics.html
- [3] Perez-Jiménez, M., Pazos-Navarro, M., López-Marín, J., Galvez, A., Varo, P. and del Amor, F.M. (2015). Foliar application of plant growth regulators changes the nutrient composition of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Scientia Horticulturae, 194, 188-193.
- [4] Das, S. K., Sarkar, M. D., Alam, M. J., Robbani, M. G. and Kabir, M. H. (2015). Influence of plant growth regulators on yield contributing characters and yield of bell pepper (*Capsicum annum*) varieties. Journal of Plant Sciences, 10(2), 63.
- [5] Chaudhary, B. R., Sharma, M. D., Shakya, S. M., and Gautam, D. M. (2006). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) at Rampur, Chitwan. Journal of the Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, 27, 65-68.
- [6] Sreenivas, M., Sharangi, A. B. and Raj, A. C. (2017). Evaluation of bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity of gibberellic acid on chilli. Journal of Crop and Weed, 13(3), 174-177.
- [7] Balraj, R. and Kurdikeri, M. B. (2002). Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum*) at different pickings. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 59(1), 84-88.
- [8] Panda, S. D. and Fatmi, U. (2022). Effect of Gibberellic Acid and Naphthalene Acetic Acid on Growth, Yield and Quality of Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 12(11), 1239-1244.
- [9] Song, W., Shao, H., Zheng, A., Zhao, L. and Xu, Y. (2023). Advances in roles of salicylic acid in plant tolerance

responses to biotic and abiotic stresses. Plants, 12(19), 3475.

- [10] Sobczak, A., Pioro-Jabrucka, E., Gajc-Wolska, J. and Kowalczyk, K. (2024). Effect of Salicylic Acid and Calcium on Growth, Yield, and Fruit Quality of Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Grown Hydroponically. Agronomy, 14(2), 329.
- [11] Zhang, C., Huang, R., Zhan, N. and Qin, L. (2023). Methyl jasmonate and selenium synergistically mitigative cadmium toxicity in hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) plants by improving antioxidase activities and reducing Cd accumulation. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(34), 82458-8246.
- [12] Akhter, S., Mostarin, T., Khatun, K., Akhter, F., and Parvin, A. (2018). Effects of plant growth regulator on yield and economic benefit of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). The Agriculturists, 16(2), 58-64.
- [13] Dhakar, S. and Singh, Y. (2015). Studies on the effect of inorganic fertilizers and plant growth regulator on growth and yield of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). The Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Research, 1(2), 27-39.
- [14] Moosavi, S. F., Haghighi, M. and Mirmazloum, I. (2024). Interacting effects of phytohormones and fruit pruning on the morpho-physiological and biochemical attributes of bell pepper. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 14801.
- [15] Darwesh, F., Ali, T. B. and Hassanein, N. M. (2007). Studies on some materials for improving fruit set and quality of sweet pepper grown under high temperature. Journal of Plant Production, 32(7), 5487-5494.
- [16] Kazemi, M. (2014). Effect of gibberellic acid and potassium nitrate spray on vegetative growth and reproductive characteristics of tomato. Journal of Biological and Environmental Sciences, 8(22), 1-9.
- [17] Akash, M. S. H., Rehman, K. and Chen, S. (2014). Spice plant *Allium cepa*: Dietary supplement for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nutrition, 30(10), 1128-1137.
- [18] Dhengle, R. P. and Bhosale, A. M. (2007). Effect of NAA and

GA₃ along with urea on certain quality attributes of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. capitata). The Asian Journal of Horticulture, 70 (2), 30-32.

- [19] Rosado-Souza, L., Scossa, F., Chaves, I. S., Kleessen, S., Salvador, L. F., Milagre, J. C. and Nunes-Nesi, A. (2015). Exploring natural variation of photosynthetic, primary metabolism and growth parameters in a large panel of *Capsicum chinense* accessions. Planta, 242, 677-691.
- [20] Singh, Y. and Singh, S. S. (2011). Effect of different concentration of plant growth regulators on the yield and quality attributes of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Vegetable Science, 38(2), 228-230.
- [21] Kumari, M. (2022). Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) microplants and mini tubers effected by the combination of gibberellic acid (GA₃) and indole 3 acetic acid (IAA). Journal of Plant Sciences, 10(6), 227-234.
- [22] Small, C. C. and Degenhardt, D. (2018). Plant growth regulators for enhancing revegetation success in reclamation: A review. Ecological engineering, 118, 43-51.
- [23] Badaway, F. H. and Imam, M. K (1976). Effect of seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* and soaking in trace element solution on the growth and yield of some vegetable crops. Libyan Journal of Agriculture, 4, 69 78.
- [24] Chattoo, M. A., Gandroo, M. Y. and Zarger, M. Y. (1997). Effect of Azospirillium and Azotobacter on growth, yield and quality of knol-khol (*Brassica oleracea* var. gongylodes L.). Vegetable Science, 34(1), 16-19.
- [25] Jayathilake, P. K. S., Reddy, I. P., Shrihari, D., Neeraja, G. and Reddy, R. (2002). Effect of nutrient management on growth yield and yield attributes of rabi onion (*Allium cepa*). Vegetable Science, 29(2), 184-185.
- [26] Choudhary, P., Maurya, R. P., Tyagi, K. K. and Regar, K. (2023). Effect of plant growth hormones on growth, yield and quality of green chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cv. 'Pant C–1'. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 12(12), 3498-3502.
- [27] Abu, N. E. and Odo, C. V. (2017). The effect of plant density on growth and yield of Nsukka Yellow aromatic pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). African Journal of Agricultural Research, 12(15), 1269-1277.
- [28] Jindal, S. K. and Dhaliwal, M. S. (2019). PSM-1: A high yielding bell pepper variety having seed production potential under North Indian plains. Vegetable Science, 46, 123-125.
- [29] Singh, P., Singh, D., Jaiswal, D. K., Singh, D. K. and Singh, V. (2017). Impact of naphthalene acetic acid and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of capsicum, *Capsicum annum* (L.) cv. Indra under shade net conditions. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6(6), 2457-2462.
- [30] Pundir, S., Singh, M. K., Alam, K. and Ahmad, M. (2022). To assess the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean for selection parameters in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). The Pharma Innovation Journal, **11**(5), 1963-1968.
- [31] Kokare, R. T., Bhalerao, R. K., Prabu, T., Chavan, S. K., Bansode, A. B. and Kachare, G. S. (2006). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* (L.) Moench). Agricultural Science Digest, 26(3), 178-181.

[32] Yadav, R. L., Dhaka, R. S. and Fageria, M. S. (2000). Effect of GA₃, NAA and succinic acid on growth and yield of cabbage cv. Golden acre. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences, 29(4), 269-270.

- [33] Lendve, V. H., Chawan, S. D., Barkule, S. R. and Bhosale, A. M. (2010). Effect of foliar application of growth regulators on growth and yield of cabbage cv. Pride of India. Asian Journal of Horticulture, 5(2), 475-478.
- [34] Sawant, V. P., Naik, D. M., Barkule, S. R., Bhosale, A. M. and Shinde, S. B. (2010). Effect of foliar application of growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of cabbage cv. Golden Acre. Asian Journal of Horticulture, 5(2), 495-497.
- [35] Thapa, U., Das, R., Mandal, A. R. and Debanath, S. (2013). Influence of GA₃ and NAA on growth, yield and quality attributing characters of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* (L.) var. *italica* Plenk). Crop Research, 46(3), 192-195.
- [36] Das, S. K. (2013). Response of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) varieties to plant growth regulators (*Doctoral dissertation*). Dept. of Horticulture) SBAU, Dhaka, Bangladesh University.

ISSN: 2456-1878 (Int. J. Environ. Agric. Biotech.) https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.95.27